UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,988	06/25/2003	Anthony J. Wasilewski	A9286	5349
SCIENTIFIC-A INTELLECTU	7590 01/19/200 ATLANTA, INC. AL PROPERTY DEPA	EXAMINER CHAI, LONGBIT		
5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044			ART UNIT	· PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/602,988	WASILEWSKI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Longbit Chai	2131		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciate for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAR	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice under Expression in the practice under Expression is the practice under Expression in the practice under Exp	action is non-final. see except for formal matter	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
	_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Original application contained claims 1 - 12. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended in an amendment filed on 11/21/2006. The amendment filed have been entered and made of record. Presently, pending claims are 1 - 12.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/21/2006 has been entered.

Response to Argument

3. As per claim 1 and 7, Applicant asserts that Wasilewski does not disclose, teach, or suggest encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream wherein the second encryption method is different from the first encryption method. Examiner respectfully disagrees because Unger teaches (a) providing partial <u>dual encryption</u> methods utilizing an additional (secondary) PID for each duplicated component and these secondary PIDs are used to

tag packets that carry duplicated content with an additional encryption method (Unger: Para [0036]) and (b) allowing each participating CA (Conditional Access) system to be operated independently and each is orthogonal to the other – for example, packets encrypted with Motorola's proprietary encryption can use fast changing encryption keys, while packets encrypted with NDS' smart card based system use slightly slower changing keys (Unger: Para [0040]) and as such Applicant's arguments are respectfully traversed.

Priority

4. Applicant's claims for benefit of Continuation-in-part of Application priority date under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged.

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows:

The application is filed on 6/25/2003 but all of the claims 1 – 12 are not solely directed to originally supported subject matter present in the parent application (for example, related to partially-dual-encrypted data stream) such as newly amended claims 1 and 7 "encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream wherein the second encryption method is different from the first encryption method".

However, the later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in

Application/Control Number: 10/602,988

Art Unit: 2131

the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

As a result, all of the claims 1 - 12 will not receive benefit of the filing date of the earlier file application, and thereby the effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application remains 6/25/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Unger
 (U.S. Patent 2003/0026423).

As per claim 1, Unger teaches a method for providing a program in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting a digital bit stream from a plurality of digital bit streams (Unger: Para [0055] – [0068] & Table 1 and Figure 6);

Application/Control Number: 10/602,988

Art Unit: 2131

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a first encryption method to provide a first encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318);

encrypting the selected digital bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream wherein the second encryption method is different from the first encryption method (Unger: Para [0036] and Para [0040] & Table 1 and Figure 6 / E324: Unger teaches (a) providing partial dual encryption methods utilizing an additional (secondary) PID for each duplicated component and these secondary PIDs are used to tag packets that carry duplicated content with an additional encryption method (Unger: Para [0036]) and (b) allowing each participating CA (Conditional Access) system to be operated independently and each is orthogonal to the other – for example, packets encrypted with Motorola's proprietary encryption can use fast changing encryption keys, while packets encrypted with NDS' smart card based system use slightly slower changing keys (Unger: Para [0040]));

multiplexing the first encrypted stream, the second encrypted stream, and the plurality of digital bit streams to provide a partially-encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0053] – [0058]); and

transmitting the partially-encrypted stream (Unger: Figure 6).

As per claim 7, Unger teaches a method for providing a plurality of programs in a conditional access system, the method comprising the steps of:

selecting a plurality of elementary bit streams from a transport stream (Unger: Para [0055] – [0068] & Table 1 and Figure 6);

encrypting a portion of the selected elementary bit stream according to a first encryption method to provide a first encrypted stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E318);

encrypting the portion of the selected elementary bit stream according to a second encryption method to provide a second encrypted stream wherein the second encryption method is different from the first encryption method (Unger: Para [0036] and Para [0040] & Table 1 and Figure 6 / E324: Unger teaches (a) providing partial dual encryption methods utilizing an additional (secondary) PID for each duplicated component and these secondary PIDs are used to tag packets that carry duplicated content with an additional encryption method (Unger: Para [0036]) and (b) allowing each participating CA (Conditional Access) system to be operated independently and each is orthogonal to the other – for example, packets encrypted with Motorola's proprietary encryption can use fast changing encryption keys, while packets encrypted with NDS' smart card based system use slightly slower changing keys (Unger: Para [0040]));

multiplexing the first and second encrypted streams and the remaining portion of the selected elementary bit stream with the transport stream (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0053] – [0058]); and

transmitting the multiplexed stream (Unger: Figure 6).

As per claim 2, Unger teaches a portion of the selected digital bit stream is encrypted, wherein the encrypted portion and an unencrypted portion of the selected

digital bit stream are combined with the plurality of digital bit streams (Unger: Table 1 and Figure 6 / E322 & Para [0058] Line 13 – 16).

As per claim 3, 6, 9 and 12, Unger teaches the selected digital bit stream includes a plurality of packets, and wherein each packet includes a packet identifier identifying whether the packet is at least one of a video stream, an audio stream, and a data stream (Unger: Table 1 and Para [0010] & [0047]: there are nine program digital bit stream, as shown in Table 1, constitutes video, audio and auxiliary data packet having a particular packet identifier).

As per claim 8 (and claims 4, 5, 10, 11 and 12), Unger teaches each of the plurality of elementary bit streams includes a plurality of packets, wherein each packet includes a packet header that is indicative of at least one of a video stream, an audio stream, and a data stream (Unger: Table 1 and Para [0010] & [0047]: there are nine program digital bit stream, as shown in Table 1, constitutes video, audio and auxiliary data packet having a particular packet identifier).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

LBC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CLATER 2100